1.2 68-94

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1881-82

File No. 12-81.

192 .

Serial Nos. 1-4.

(S.R.)

Later references.

dR 111 82.
" 152-94.
" 57-87.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1- 4

In ~~Part~~ B for August 1882

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Narayappa of Kalnoor in Dewanahally Taluk regarding his share in the Sayr Revenue of the said village

4 To,
1 The Inam Superintendent.
2 The Dy. Commissioner.

No. 3352-3. Dt. 8. 8. 1882.
161.

Passed orders on the ~~Report~~ petition of Kalnoor in Dewanahally Taluk.

GVD 22-3-17 PS—20,000—11-6-20

(4)

SR 40-81-1

From

The Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

To The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

Dated 19th November/81.
Camp Mysore.

Desp'd -do-
Encls: four

Camp No 35

The accompanying petition No 2122 received in the Dewan's office from Ramanappa of Kyalanur in the Devanahalli Taluk is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner for report. The circumstances under which the Sayer collections were vested in a Kayamputta Village of Petitioner is called on to pay one-fourth of the Gootta amount notwithstanding his Rajinamah & want of ownership in

the Kaycunguttu Village
should be stated. Pending
final instructions, the sale
complained of by the Petitioners
should be stayed.

By order.

Secretary.

La 40-81-2

(General .....)

1881.

No. 2122.

Name of Petitioner. Narasimhappa

Village. Tavarikere - residing at Kalanoor

Taluk. Devanhalli

District. Bangalore

Date of Appl. 4 November 81

Date of Receipt. 4

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 3 Three

Abstract.

States that he purchased for Rs 102 the ¼ share of the Kayen Gutta Kalanoor Kayen Gutte which was sold by competition in competition with a civil case in October 1876. He subsequently resigned the same in April 1876 on account of the losses he sustained thereby - But as the By Commr. was of opinion that it formed part of the total

[illegible] the village, some correspondence
taken place on the subject & the
Govt on refusal of the Mundidaru &
others might be tendered jointly by
Nissandoss & some of them - He also addres-
-ed the Dy Commr twice but without any relief
He now complains that his house & cardamom
lands are held under attachment & sale
to be held on 22 November - The sayer, which was
hitherto under Govt agency, has now been abolished
The 1/10 "Hissa (share) in the village has already been
relinquished - & of the remaining Hissadars 1/2 share
holders being relations residing in the village of
Pulamalli in Attur territory his surname
is presumed to resign that share - & the whereabouts
of the remaining 1/10 Hissadar Srinivasulu who
is also a minor & his Guardian Govindu, it is
is not known residing at Bangalore - The ryot has evidently
no share in the village & if the Govt consider that
the sayer income
forms a portion of the village assets, the money may
be recovered on the village alone - the ryot paid
out of his pocket the purchase money of Rs 100 &
2 gonis Gutta amount, & the Mundidaru received rent
1876) the benefit of a single pie from the said item
which is now for a time under Govt agency & then
abolished - & he has moreover tendered his
resignation, as will be seen from the accompanying

5.
copy of the District
Taluq court orders -
Keep that on mature
consideration, if the
case he may be exempted
from payment of the
amount unjustly
demanded of him -
Remind (1. 1 Rupeestamp
a/c

2122

COURT FEE ONE RUPEE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

1/2

[illegible]

Petn No 2122
Varadarajan
to Order by Supdt.
19/11

COURT FEE
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
ONE RUPEE

Rs. One Rupee stamp
affixed

ಕೃಷಿಕರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಶೇ. ೧೫ ರಷ್ಟು ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಲು ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ಪಟ್ಟಿ ಮಾಡಿ.

೧. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಲಾಭ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೨. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಷ್ಟ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೩. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅನಿಶ್ಚಿತತೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೪. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಲದ ಹೊರೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೫. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಗೌರವ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೬. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆಧುನಿಕತೆ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೭. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾಂತ್ರೀಕರಣ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೮. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾರುಕಟ್ಟೆ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೯. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೂಲಿಕಾರರ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೧೦. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕೃತಿ ವಿಕೋಪಗಳು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೧೧. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೀಟಬಾಧೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೧೨. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಳೆ ನಷ್ಟ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೧೩. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಳೆ ವೈವಿಧ್ಯತೆ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೧೪. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಳೆ ಪದ್ಧತಿ ಬದಲಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೧೫. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಳೆ ಪದ್ಧತಿ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೧೬. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಳೆ ಪದ್ಧತಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೧೭. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಳೆ ಪದ್ಧತಿ ಬದಲಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೧೮. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಳೆ ಪದ್ಧತಿ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೧೯. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಳೆ ಪದ್ಧತಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೨೦. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಳೆ ಪದ್ಧತಿ ಬದಲಾಗಿರುವುದು.

೨. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಲು ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ಪಟ್ಟಿ ಮಾಡಿ.

೧. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಲಾಭ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೨. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಷ್ಟ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೩. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅನಿಶ್ಚಿತತೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೪. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಲದ ಹೊರೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೫. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಗೌರವ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೬. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆಧುನಿಕತೆ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೭. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾಂತ್ರೀಕರಣ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೮. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾರುಕಟ್ಟೆ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೯. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೂಲಿಕಾರರ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದು.
೧೦. ಕೃಷಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕೃತಿ ವಿಕೋಪಗಳು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿರುವುದು.

ಅಂಗಡಿಯವನಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಅಂಗಡಿಯವನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ದಾವೆ ಹೂಡಿದ್ದಾನೆ. (ಸಮನ್ಸ್)

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗೆ — — — — — — — — — — — —

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವನಿಗೆ ಕಲಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ ಮೇಲಿನವರ ಕಂಪ್ಲೇಂಟು

H.

(General Serial No. 29.)

836
2 MAY 82
31
DEWAN OF MYSORE

1882

From Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore dist.

To Dewan

The Secretary to the ~~Chief Commissioner~~
of Mysore, Department.

Dated 26th April

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 84 } 1882-83.
D No.

Reporting on petition
from Rama Bhatta of
Kalamoor, Devanhully
Taluk.

Forwarded

4/5

LR 440-81-3

(5)

Dno. 84.
Sine. 1 file of Ver: papers.

Bangalore District
Deputy Commr's Office
26th April 1882.

To
The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to your No 35 dated 19th November last, forwarding for report a petition from Narappa of Kalanoor, Devanhully Taluk, I have the honor to state as follows:-

2. In the execution of a Civil decree of the late Hundjroog Division Commissioner's Court in 1874, the petitioner purchased the right of Soondappa (one of the shareholders of Kalnoor and other Inam villages) to one fourth share in the Toddy and Sayer Revenue of the villages

†. Herewith returned with enclosures.

3- on 7th 1876, Ramanatha presented a Petition to the Talook, Requisitioning this Right to the Layer Renting on the ground that the items of Revenue had fallen off. But the Deputy Commissioner Rejected the application, and the Commissioner of the Late Mundyroo Division decided on appeal preferred to him by the petitioner, that the Petition would not be accepted, unless all the Kayenguttu Shareholders put into it conjointly. This has not however been done.

4. I cannot recommend that the Rental of Layer is Rs. 131-3-3, per measure, and the petitioner is a quarter shareholder in it. The items have been in arrears since Dhatoo, and some other shareholders have also objected to pay

the Sayer Rental, on the score of the abolition of the Sayer duty in 1879.

5. The Inamdars did not bring to the notice of the Inam Superintendent, the fact of Sayer Revenue having been included in the Kayem-Jutta; and with reference to enquiries made in the matter as directed in Secretary's No. 6132/1079 dated 17th February 1880, the Amildar has Reported that he could not procure the attendance of all the Kayem-Guttadar Shareholders, and that the few who attended the Cutcherry explained that the item of Sayer Revenue was, through inadvertence, not Reported to the Inam Depart-ment, at the time of Settlement.

6. I beg to take this opportunity to state that the

Raidu Kaundars, who are required to pay the sum of Rs 131-3-3, per annum, on the item of Arrack, have ceased to enjoy this revenue, since the exclusive privilege of the manufacture and wholesale vend of Arrack was given out on Contract.

7. A License fee of Rs 2, a month, had been collected on two shops in the Kalnoor and Kalkally villages, up to Eswara. Since the Contract was given out, the License fee is credited to Government.

8. The Jutta amount of the villages includes also the item of Tax on Caste designated "Yedakai Maniga" and "Balakai Maniga" on Holayars and Madigars in the villages. It has been reported by the Amildar that this tax is not collected

by the Guardians since the Incorporation was formed in 1860, and he recommends this item to be remitted in favor of the Guardians.

9. The Vernacular correspondence connected with the case is also herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be

Your most obd.t Servant

Chairman

Dy. Commissioner

17.

Read

Letter No. 84 of the 26th April 1882 from the Dy. Comr of the Bangalore Dt. reporting on a petition from Narnappa of Kyalanoor in the Devanhally Taluk regarding his share in the Sayer revenue of the Kayemgutta village of Kyalanoor.

SR 60-81-4

9352-3/161 of 8th August 1882 Order thereon:- The sale by the Commissioner's Court of the ~~Southern~~ Nandydroog Division in 1874 of a share in the right to the Sayer revenue of the Kayemgutta village of Kyalanur was irregular and cannot be recognized. As the purchaser now disowns his claim to it, the revenue payable on the Sayer should be reincluded in the Kayemgutta amount and made payable by the Kayemguttadars. If the revenue payable on account of Arrack in the above village referred to

by the Dy Comr is also similarly separately treated, the arrangement should now be discontinued and the amount should be included in the Kazeengutta heriz. The Kazeenguttadar cannot claim any remission on account of losses in the above items of revenue unless the same was occasioned by any special acts of God. He holds the entire village and all its revenues on the aggregate rent fixed, and if he is unable to pay the rent he can only surrender the Kazeengutta tenure and get the village treated as Sirkar.

In the correspondence* marginally noted, the omission in the Inam settlement of the revenue payable

* from Dy Comr of Bangalore, No 430 dy 24th Decr 1879.
Progs. No 5316/262 dy 11th January 1880.
From Manuscript No 128 dy 24th do
To do — No 5853/288 dy 3rd Feb 18
From do — No 140 dy 12th do
To Dy Comr of Bangalore, No 6132 dy 17th Feb 1880.

1. Kyalancor
2. Amravati
3. Veerambally
4. Kariampura
5. Mylsandra
6. Hannarahalli
7. Krishnahalli
8. Ambiganahalli
9. Chikballa
10. Pura
11. Angoltali
12. Saswapatna.

on account of Sayer in the villages marginally noted held under a single Sanad was noticed and the Inam Superintendent was requested to revise the settlement including the amount payable for Sayer & other items in the Kayemgutta Bery. He is now requested to revise the settlement accordingly in communication with the Dy: Com.

The general question to what extent Inamdars are entitled to Mo. & Sa and other personal cesses apart from their connection with the la and its produce is at under consideration and will be hereafter separately disposed of

Cy

To The C
" The Dy

To

The Inam-Supdt

" Dy Comm of Bungalore

Dy 8th Augt 1882

Rect No 3352-3
161.

Passing order
on the Report - on petition
Narrappa of Kyalnoor
in the Devanhally Taluk